

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	HISTORY OF KNOWLEDGE AND STATE OF THE ART	1
1.1	HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF RESEARCH ON TROCHIDAE	1
1.2	EVOLUTION OF TAXONOMIC CONCEPTS (PERIODS AND SCHOOLS)	4
1.3	CONTRIBUTIONS OF MORPHOLOGY VS. CONTRIBUTIONS OF MOLECULAR APPROACHES	6
1.4	CURRENT GAPS AND RESEARCH PERSPECTIVES	10
1.5	REFERENCES	12
2	SYSTEMATIC POSITION AND CLASSIFICATION	17
2.1	PLACEMENT OF TROCHIDAE WITHIN GASTROPODA	19
2.2	INTERNAL CLASSIFICATION: SUBFAMILIES, TRIBES, GENERA, AND SPECIES	20
2.3	CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA USED	23
2.4	DISCUSSION OF TAXONOMIC BOUNDARIES AND COMPLEX CASES	26
2.5	GENERAL IDENTIFICATION KEY (HIGHER-LEVEL TAXA)	28
2.6	REFERENCES	30

3 GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS AND FUNCTIONAL MORPHOLOGY	34
3.1 EXTERNAL MORPHOLOGY	34
3.1.1 SHELL: SHAPE, SCULPTURE, COLORATION, SPIRE, UMBILICUS	34
3.1.2 APERTURE, PERISTOME, OPERCULUM: VARIATION AND FUNCTIONS	38
3.1.3 SOFT PARTS: HEAD, TENTACLES, FOOT, EPIPODIUM	39
3.2 INTERNAL ANATOMY	40
3.2.1 DIGESTIVE SYSTEM AND ASSOCIATED GLANDS	40
3.2.2 RADULA: TYPES, RADULAR FORMULA, DIAGNOSTIC VALUE	41
3.2.3 RESPIRATORY AND CIRCULATORY SYSTEMS	42
3.2.4 NERVOUS SYSTEM AND SENSORY ORGANS	44
3.2.5 REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM: ORGANIZATION AND VARIATIONS	45
3.3 ONTOGENY AND GROWTH	46
3.3.1 LARVAL DEVELOPMENT	46
3.3.2 SHELL GROWTH AND ALLOMETRY	46
3.4 MORPHOLOGICAL VARIABILITY	47
3.4.1 PHENOTYPIC PLASTICITY	47
3.4.2 DIMORPHISM, POLYMORPHISM, AND GEOGRAPHIC VARIATION	48
3.5 REFERENCES	49

4 METHODS, MATERIALS, AND STUDY APPROACHES 51

4.1 SAMPLING METHODS 52

4.2 PRESERVATION, PREPARATION, AND STORAGE OF SPECIMENS 57

4.3 MORPHOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS AND STANDARDIZED DESCRIPTION 60

4.4 OBSERVATION TOOLS 62

4.5 MOLECULAR APPROACHES 64

4.6 STATISTICAL ANALYSES AND DATA MANAGEMENT 68

4.7 REFERENCES 71

5 SYNONYMY, NOMENCLATURE, AND TAXONOMIC PROBLEMS 78

5.1 APPLICABLE NOMENCLATRURAL RULES (ICZN PRINCIPLES) 78

5.2 TAXONOMIC SYNONYMS: SOURCES AND TYPOLOGY 81

5.2.1 OBJECTIVE VS. SUBJECTIVE SYNONYMS 82

5.2.2 HOMONYMY, NEW COMBINATIONS, REVISIONS 83

5.3 NOMENCLATRURAL TYPES (HOLOTYPE, LECTOTYPE, NEOTYPE) 85

5.4 CRYPTIC SPECIES AND SPECIES COMPLEXES 87

5.5 RECOMMENDATIONS TO STABILIZE NOMENCLATURE 89

5.6 REFERENCES 91

6 DIAGNOSES AND IDENTIFICATION TOOLS 95

6.1 MAJOR DIAGNOSTIC CHARACTERS (FAMILY, SUBFAMILY, GENUS)	96
6.2 SPECIES DIVERSITY OF TROCHIDAE	99
6.2.1 PHORCUS (OSILINUS) TURBINATUS (BORN, 1778)	99
6.2.2 PHORCUS (OSILINUS) ARTICULATUS (LINNAEUS, 1758)	101
6.2.3 GIBBULA DIVARICATA (LINNAEUS, 1758):	103
6.2.4 <i>PHORCUS RICHARDI</i> (PAYRAUDEAU, 1826):	104
6.2.5 <i>GIBBULA VARIA</i> (LINNAEUS, 1758)	105
6.2.6 <i>GIBBULA RACKETTI</i> (PAYRAUDEAU, 1826)	108
6.3 ASSOCIATED INVERTEBRATE FAUNA	109
6.4 COMPARATIVE DIAGNOSES WITH CLOSELY RELATED FAMILIES	111
6.5 DICHOTOMOUS IDENTIFICATION KEYS	113
6.5.1 KEY TO SUBFAMILIES/GENERA	114
6.5.2 REGIONAL KEYS (BY GEOGRAPHIC AREA)	117
6.6 SPECIES FACT SHEETS (STANDARD TEMPLATE)	119
6.6.1 SHORT DIAGNOSIS	121
6.6.2 DETAILED DESCRIPTION	121
6.6.3 VARIATION, HABITAT, DISTRIBUTION	122
6.6.4 TAXONOMIC REMARKS	124
6.7 REFERENCES	125
7 BIOGEOGRAPHY AND DISTRIBUTION	128

7.1 GLOBAL DISTRIBUTION OF TROCHIDAE	128
7.2 BIOGEOGRAPHIC PATTERNS (TROPICAL, TEMPERATE, INSULAR)	131
7.3 ENDEMISM, VICARIANCE, AND LARVAL DISPERSAL.	132
7.4 MAPPING AND DISTRIBUTION DATABASES	135
7.5 EFFECTS OF GLOBAL CHANGE ON RANGES	138
7.6 REFERENCES	140
8 ECO-BIOLOGY OF TROCHIDAE	145
8.1 HABITATS AND MICROHABITATS	145
8.1.1 ROCKS, REEFS, SEAGRASS BEDS, MIXED SUBSTRATES	146
8.1.2 BATHYMETRIC GRADIENT AND INTERTIDAL ZONATION	148
8.2 DIET AND TROPHIC ROLE	149
8.3 REPRODUCTIVE STRATEGIES	150
8.4 POPULATION DYNAMICS	152
8.5 ECOLOGICAL INTERACTIONS	153
8.6 ECOLOGICAL INDICATORS AND BIOINDICATION	155
8.7 REFERENCES	156
9 . ECOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE	157
9.1 ROLE IN THE FUNCTIONING OF COASTAL ECOSYSTEMS	157
9.2 CONTRIBUTION TO THE CONTROL OF ALGAE AND BIOFILMS	159

9.3 POSITION IN TROPHIC NETWORKS	160
9.4 TROCHIDAE AS SENTINEL SPECIES (CONTAMINATION, STRESS)	161
9.5 IMPACTS OF DISTURBANCES (POLLUTION, URBANIZATION, TOURISM)	163
9.6 REFERENCES	164
10 ECONOMIC AND SOCIO-CULTURAL IMPORTANCE	167
10.1 FISHERY EXPLOITATION (HARVESTING, ARTISANAL FISHING)	167
10.2 CONSUMPTION AND NUTRITIONAL VALUE (IF RELEVANT)	169
10.3 ORNAMENTATION, HANDICRAFTS, SHELL TRADE	169
10.4 AQUACULTURE AND POTENTIAL FOR VALORIZATION	171
10.5 RISKS AND CONSTRAINTS: OVEREXPLOITATION, REGULATION, TRACEABILITY	173
10.6 CASE STUDIES (BY REGION / BY SPECIES OF INTEREST)	174
10.6.1 SAMOA (INTRODUCED T. (=R.) NILOTICUS)	174
10.6.2 PAPUA NEW GUINEA (MOTHER-OF-PEARL EXPORT HISTORY AND SHELLCRAFT)	175
10.6.3 GREAT BARRIER REEF CONNECTIVITY (IMPLICATIONS FOR SPATIAL MANAGEMENT)	175
10.7 REFERENCES	176

11 CONSERVATION, THREATS, AND MANAGEMENT	178
11.1 MAIN THREATS (HABITAT LOSS, POLLUTION, CLIMATE)	179
11.2 VULNERABLE SPECIES AND ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	181
11.3 MANAGEMENT MEASURES: PROTECTED AREAS, QUOTAS, CLOSED SEASONS	183
11.4 ECOLOGICAL MONITORING: PROTOCOLS AND INDICATORS	186
11.5 STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS (RESEARCH, MANAGEMENT, GOVERNANCE)	188
11.6 REFERENCES	190
 12 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) AND SUSTAINABLE TROCHIDAE INVENTORY MANAGEMENT	 195
12.1 INTRODUCTION: GROWING ROLE OF AI IN MARINE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT	195
12.2 AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION OF TROCHIDAE SPECIES	196
12.2.1 AUTOMATED REAL-TIME SHELL IDENTIFICATION	198
12.2.2 MULTI-VIEW MORPHOLOGICAL RECOGNITION	199
12.2.3 REDUCED TAXONOMIC ERROR RATE	201
12.3 AUTOMATED HABITAT DETECTION	202
12.4 PREDICTIVE MODELS OF ABUNDANCE AND RECRUITMENT	204
12.5 SPATIAL MODELLING: SMART STOCK MAPPING	207
12.6 EARLY WARNING SYSTEMS	209

12.7 SMART TRACEABILITY: AI + BLOCKCHAIN	211
12.8 LIMITATIONS AND PROSPECTS	213
12.9 REFERENCES	216

1 History of knowledge and state of the art

Trochidae (here treated in the broad historical sense, Trochidae sensu lato, while explicitly recognizing that modern classifications partition several “traditional trochids” among multiple trochoidean families) have been central to the development of marine gastropod systematics because they combine high species richness, conspicuous shell diversity, and strong ecological coupling to rocky and reef habitats (Bouchet et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2008). In this chapter, “state of the art” denotes the current consensus and the dominant unresolved problems across taxonomy, character evolution, and applied ecology, emphasizing how changes in evidence bases (shells, anatomy, and molecules) repeatedly reshaped family limits and identification practice (Bouchet & Rocroi, 2005; Williams, 2012).

1.1 Historical overview of research on Trochidae

The earliest scientific treatments of trochids were embedded within Linnaean taxonomy, in which species hypotheses were anchored primarily in external morphology and concise diagnoses, with shells serving as the most accessible and preservable evidence (Linnaeus, 1758). This historically shell-led tradition is often termed conchology, defined here as the descriptive and classificatory study of molluscan shells, which dominated 18th–19th century practice and generated

large, enduring nominal inventories for Trochoidea sensu lato (Linnaeus, 1758; Thiele, 1929–1935).

By the late 19th and early 20th centuries, “faunal synthesis” works and museum-based comparative series increasingly attempted supraspecific ordering, including family- and subfamily-level schemes that explicitly weighed shell characters such as spire shape, sculpture, and umbilical development across broad geographic collections (Thiele, 1929–1935). This period consolidated a pragmatic, morphology-first workflow, description, comparison, and synonymy, while also exposing the instability created by regional naming practices and incomplete access to earlier type material (ICZN, 1999; Thiele, 1929–1935).

A decisive expansion of character systems followed the routine incorporation of radular evidence, where the radula is the chitinous, conveyor-belt-like feeding ribbon bearing serial tooth rows, and the radular formula is the standardized notation summarizing the arrangement and counts of tooth types per transverse row (Hickman, 1980; Troschel, 1856–1863). Radular anatomy enabled explicit functional and phylogenetic hypotheses because tooth form is shaped by both diet and inherited developmental programs, requiring careful separation of homology from ecological convergence (Hickman, 1980; Troschel, 1856–1863).

From the mid-to-late 20th century, trochoidean systematics integrated broader soft-part anatomy and evolutionary reasoning, including critical reassessments of “grade-based” groupings among vetigastropods and increasing attention to character polarity and transformation (Haszprunar, 1988; Ponder & Lindberg, 1997). In Trochoidea, synthetic revisions used combined shell, radula, and anatomical evidence to stabilize suprageneric concepts and to articulate explicit diagnostic systems rather than relying on single-character typologies (Hickman & McLean, 1990; Haszprunar, 1988).

In parallel, applied ecological and fisheries research on large-bodied “trochid” grazers (in the historical sense, including taxa now frequently assigned outside Trochidae sensu stricto) generated quantitative demographic baselines and highlighted management vulnerabilities caused by shore-based harvesting (Foale & Day, 1997; Schmidt et al., 2002). For example, artisanal exploitation of *Cittarium pica* on Caribbean rocky shores was associated with mean densities of ~ 14 individuals m^{-2} at an unexploited site (approximately threefold higher than exploited sites), elevated total mortality at exploited sites ($Z \approx 4.05\text{--}4.47$ versus 1.47), and growth parameters of $k \approx 0.19\text{--}0.28 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ with $L_{\infty} \approx 104 \text{ mm}$, motivating minimum-size and seasonal-closure recommendations (Schmidt et al., 2002).