SARAHMED Éditions

Contact us by email at leseditionssarahmed@gmail.com or visit our website https://sarahmed.net/

ISBN 978-9969-589-80-1

December 2025

Medicinal Plants in Drug Discovery: From Nature to Pharmaceuticals

Dr MAKHLOUF Yasmina

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Introduction

The utilization of plants for therapeutic purposes represents one of humanity's oldest and most enduring healthcare strategies. This practice, deeply embedded in the cultural fabric of societies worldwide, spans from instinctive prehistoric applications to sophisticated, research-driven modern pharmacotherapy (Alam et al., 2025). Medicinal plants synthesize a vast array of bioactive secondary metabolites—such as alkaloids, polyphenols, and terpenes—as biochemical defense mechanisms, which interact with human physiological pathways to offer remedies for various ailments (Alam et al., 2025). Today, approximately 80% of the global population depends on traditional medicine, which is predominantly plant-based, for primary healthcare needs, underscoring its unparalleled accessibility and cultural significance (World Health Organization, 2023). This reliance is particularly pronounced in regions with limited access to conventional medical services. The World Health Organization recognizes the integral role of traditional and complementary medicine, noting its widespread use across member states (World Health Organization, 2023). This introduction delineates the historical evolution, ethnobotanical foundations, geographical distribution, and the evolving synergy between traditional knowledge and contemporary scientific validation of medicinal plants.

1.1 History and Importance of Medicinal Plants

The historical trajectory of medicinal plant use is a testament to human ingenuity and observational learning across millennia. Evidence suggests prehistoric human engagement with healing flora, as indicated by plant remains in archaeological sites (Petrovska, 2012). The earliest systematized records originate from ancient civilizations; Sumerian clay tablets from 3000 BCE catalog hundreds of plants, while the Egyptian Ebers Papyrus (c. 1550 BCE) documents over 800 plant-based remedies (Petrovska, 2012). These early compendia formed the empirical bedrock upon which subsequent medical traditions were built.

The Greco-Roman era marked a significant transition towards formalization. The Greek physician Dioscorides, in his seminal first-century CE work *De Materia Medica,* meticulously described over 600 plants for medicinal use, a text that remained an authoritative pharmacopoeia for centuries (Petrovska, 2012). This corpus of knowledge was preserved and enriched through the Islamic Golden Age and later in medieval European monasteries, ensuring the continuity of herbal wisdom. The nineteenth-century advent of phytochemistry catalyzed a paradigm shift, moving from whole-plant empiricism to the isolation of discrete active principles. The isolation of morphine from opium poppy in 1805 exemplified this transition, proving that plant efficacy could be attributed to specific chemical compounds (Yuan et al., 2016). This "golden age" of natural product chemistry yielded countless breakthroughs, including quinine from cinchona bark and digoxin from foxglove.

Critically, many landmark modern pharmaceuticals are direct descendants of traditional plant remedies. For instance, the anti-malarial drug artemisinin was isolated from *Artemisia annua* (sweet wormwood) following a systematic investigation of traditional Chinese medical texts (Yuan et al., 2016). Similarly, the ubiquitous analgesic aspirin is a synthetic derivative of salicylic acid, a compound naturally occurring in willow bark (*Salix* spp.), used for millennia across cultures as a pain reliever and anti-inflammatory (Yuan et al., 2016). Today, it is estimated that a significant proportion of modern pharmaceutical products have origins in nature and traditional knowledge, highlighting an unbroken lineage from ancient remedies to evidence-based drugs (Alam et al., 2025).

1.2 Ethnobotanical Knowledge of Medicinal Plants

Ethnobotany, the scientific study of the dynamic relationships between people and plants, provides the methodological framework for documenting, analyzing, and preserving indigenous knowledge of medicinal flora (Wondimu & Asfaw, 2022). This interdisciplinary field is crucial for capturing the vast, often orally transmitted, pharmacopeia held by local communities before such knowledge is lost due to cultural erosion and biodiversity decline.

Contemporary ethnobotanical research employs rigorous, standardized methodologies. Data collection typically involves semi-structured interviews, guided field walks, and focus group discussions with key informants, notably traditional healers and knowledgeable elders (Wondimu & Asfaw, 2022). Quantitative ethnobotanical indices are then applied to analyze this data objectively. The Informant Consensus Factor (ICF) identifies plant species with high consensus for treating specific disease categories, ranging from 0 (low consensus) to 1 (high consensus). For example, a study in Ethiopia reported an ICF value of 0.78 for plants used to treat gastrointestinal disorders, indicating strong community consensus (Wondimu & Asfaw, 2022). The Fidelity Level (FL) calculates the percentage of informants who cite a particular plant for a primary therapeutic use, indicating its perceived importance. In the Sedie Muja district, Ruta chalepensis was cited by 70% of informants for treating specific ailments (Wondimu & Asfaw, 2022).

1.3 Global Distribution of Medicinal Plants

Medicinal plants are ubiquitous globally, but their diversity, endemism, and utilization intensity are heterogeneously distributed, heavily influenced by biocultural factors. Biodiversity hotspots, particularly in tropical and subtropical regions, coincide with areas of rich ethnomedicinal knowledge. Recent high-resolution analyses in China, a global repository of medicinal flora, illustrate this pattern. A 2025 study analyzing 458 of China's most valuable and threatened medicinal plants found their distribution highly concentrated in southwestern China, specifically the Qilian Mountains, Qinling-Bashan Mountains, and southeastern Xizang (Qin et al., 2025).

This geographical clustering presents significant conservation challenges. The same study identified major conservation gaps, with over 16.43% of critical habitat grids containing the majority of studied species remaining outside protected area networks, primarily in southwestern China and Xinjiang (Qin et al., 2025). Furthermore,